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PROPOSED AGENDA

1. Adoption of the agenda
2. Adoption of the minutes of February 2, 2024
3. President’s report and questions
4. Chair’s report and questions
5. Old business:
   a. Committee reports and updates:
      i. Event policy update: Interim University Policy for Safe Demonstrations
      ii. Information and Communications Technology Annual Report 2022-2023 (IT)
6. New business:
   a. Resolutions:
      i. Resolution to Approve the Establishment of the Roy and Diana Vagelos Institute for Biomedical Research Education (Education)
MEETING OF FEBRUARY 2, 2024

Sen. Jeanine D’Armiento (Ten., VP&S) called the Senate to order at 1:15 pm. Seventy-nine of 101 senators and 125 additional spectators were present during the meeting.

Sen. D’Armiento reminded the group that only senators can speak and vote at plenaries.

Adoption of the agenda. The agenda was adopted as proposed (see Plenary Binder for February 2 plenary, p. 2).

Adoption of the minutes. The minutes of December 8 were adopted as proposed (Binder, 3-11).

Executive Committee chair’s remarks. Sen. D’Armiento said President Shafik was attending the inauguration of the Barnard College president. It was a busy day and a difficult day to navigate the campus, with these and other events going on.

Sen. D’Armiento said she didn’t have much to report that wouldn’t be addressed later in the meeting. She invited questions.

Sen. Daniel Savin (Research Officers) asked when the Structure and Operations Committee would meet next. He said the committee had not met since May 2022. There was important committee business that had been put off for far too long.

Sen. D’Armiento agreed that there were pressing issues, and said S&O would meet soon. She noted that the Senate had been extremely busy with other issues that would be discussed later in the meeting.

Old Business.

● University Event Policy status. Sen. D’Armiento recalled that the Senate had devoted extensive discussion at the last two plenaries to a revision of the event policy that had been put in place in October 2023. She said the Senate recognized the need for the University to designate time, place, and manner for protests. But she said the policy was confusing because it seemed to bump into the already existing Rules of Conduct covering protests. At the December 8 plenary the Senate approved recommendations for modifications to the new event policy. She said the Senate believes that a policy of this kind must allow free and open debate, while recognizing the rights of others to speak, study, teach, and learn. There must be a framework that is recognized by everyone. She said that in December the Student Governing Board had voted to declare non-cooperation with the new event policy, and she supported that decision. She said the goal now was to make an event policy that everyone could embrace.

She said many senators had continued to work very hard on a revision of the event policy, and they were now nearly finished. She said one of the student senators would say more about this later in the meeting. The goal was to recognize the needs of the University, while maintaining freedom of speech and the right to protest as fundamental priorities.
Sen. D’Armiento said the Senate would not endorse a policy that does not retain an enforcement framework that allows student involvement and due process. She said the University Judicial Board must be included in any policy for events that involve public expression, and in any disciplinary process affecting students’ records.

She asked Student Affairs Committee leaders Bruce Goumain (GS) or Minhas Wasaya (Business) to describe the key elements of a revised event policy.

Sen. Wasaya said it is an essential responsibility of the University to protect freedom of expression and the right to protest, while at the same time upholding its academic and research mission, and the right of its members to study and learn without disruption. He said the University is responsible for balancing these priorities by regulating the time, place and manner of protests. Students have engaged in a process of sounding out constituents and other key stakeholders, including administrators, to determine workable solutions. One key step is providing a process for groups as well as individuals to register demonstrations, and lowering the requirement (set by the event policy announced in October) that student groups register their events at least 10 days in advance.

Sen. Wasaya said another important task is finding spaces for demonstrations that will not interfere with normal school business. Students have identified appropriate spaces by looking at where demonstrations have taken place in the past. These spaces are in the vicinity of the Sundial and the lawns on South Campus. Groups would normally register for those spaces, but they could also make special requests for other spaces. Having some degree of consistency in the allotment of space would help to ensure the predictability of the process.

Sen. Wasaya said there should also be predictability in the scheduling of demonstrations.

He said the final issue is how to handle violations of the event policy. This was the problem that Sen. D’Armiento had said particularly requires due process. The Senate group wants to ensure that the Student Governing Board is involved in deliberations about possible violations by groups, and that the University Judicial Board adjudicates any allegations against individuals.

Sen. Wasaya said the point of these provisions is that in the SGB and the UJB students are either partly or completely responsible for adjudication. He said the Senate will not accept any policy without this feature. At the same time, Sen. Wasaya said, the Senate wants to ensure that the University can act swiftly to hold people accountable when they do violate the policy.

Sen. Susan Bernofsky (Ten., Arts) asked about the designated demonstration locations, which reminded her of the “free speech” areas set by the New York Police Department, which involve setting up metal barriers and penning people in little areas that are often dangerous. Is this where the plans for designated demonstrations are headed?

Sen. D'Armiento said the Senate group trusts in its community to understand where a demonstration is supposed to take place, without need of barriers to pen people in. She said any event policy has to have the respect of the community, without the assumption that student demonstrations mean dangerous crowds.

She said that she would make sure in conversations with the administration to stress the need to have the policies carried out respectfully.

She repeated Sen. Wasaya’s statement about the need for a mechanism to allow students to request special consideration of unusual location requests.
Sen. Jalaj Mehta (Stu., SEAS/Undergrad) asked whether the event policy or the Rules of Conduct would be used in the actual monitoring of demonstrations.

Sen. D’Armiento welcomed the question because it showed where confusion is likely to occur between the event policy and the Rules of Conduct. The Senate group wanted to make sure that the event policy does not encroach on the jurisdiction of the Rules.

Sen. D’Armiento said that if the event policy forbids a certain location for a demonstration, and a student goes there anyway and also throws a rock through a window, there are two violations—a violation of the event policy, and a violation of the Rules of Conduct.

Sen. Alexander Roman (TC) asked about the protocol for bringing the New York City police on campus.

Sen. D’Armiento said that at a demonstration on January 19 there was some unfortunate activity; since then the NYPD has been coming on campus to investigate. She looked forward to a time when the NYPD are no longer coming during protests, and when the campus can return to a settled state. She said nothing had changed in the Statutory restrictions on bringing police on campus.

Sen. Jeffrey Gordon (Ten., Law) asked what the event policy says about a classroom building or a library as a site for a demonstration.

Sen. D’Armiento said a demonstration in either of these types of location would be violating both the event policy and the Rules of Conduct.

Sen. Henry Ginsberg (Ten., VP&S) asked for a definition of an event. Sen. D’Armiento said it is a public expression by one or more people.

She said this and many other questions would have to be sorted out during the first six months of the new policy. She said the Senate’s role would be to protect protesters’ speech rights as primary.

Sen. Ginsberg mentioned the problem of finding the boundary of acceptable speech. What if you wish for the disappearance of a certain group, but don’t name the group?

Sen. D’Armiento said the fundamental point is that the event policy must not enter into the realm of content. It must make determinations like, You registered your event for the South Lawn, but went to the North Lawn. All issues of content must be addressed through the Rules of Conduct.

She said the Rules of Content do not allow calls for genocide or other hateful acts. The Rules do not need to be modified to prohibit these.

Sen. Ian Beilin (Libraries) followed up on Sen. Bernofsky’s comment about limitations on sites for demonstrations. He said this issue has come up for many rallies on the Columbia campus and others. There are commonly gatherings, followed by marches. He recalled Take Back the Night events, which not only take place after permissible protest hours, but also involve a rally followed by a march. These events have always been peaceful.

Sen. D’Armiento said that event could perhaps be considered under the special approval process. This may be one of the bumps in the road during the first six months of the new policy.

Another event might be a vigil, or some other gathering at night to sit somewhere and perhaps do some readings. Sen. D’Armiento said she wanted students to be able to do the things they need to do to express themselves.
Sen. D’Armiento asked senators to raise any remaining issues promptly so they could be addressed in the remaining negotiations.

Sen. Freyer understood that there could be a policy for the University to test for six months or so, and then there would be time to review and modify it.

Sen. D’Armiento urged students not to get into trouble testing the new policy, but to speak up about it. She said it was hard to know what the policy is at the moment. The Senate has not accepted the event policy instituted last October. So there is an acute need for a clearly understood policy. She advised students who are planning events to try to register them as they used to do.

Sen. Bernofsky noted that President Shafik had not attended a plenary since making a brief appearance at the September meeting. Sen. Bernofsky asked if someone could communicate to the president that the Senate really should be able to meet with her.

Sen. D'Armiento agreed, saying she would have pushed to have the president attend the present plenary if it hadn’t been for the Barnard president’s inauguration.

She said the Executive Committee would be in serious negotiations during the next four days over the event policy, and urged senators to alert her to problems she might be missing. She acknowledged the work of the Executive Committee, which had devoted many hours to this effort, and stressed the dedication of the Senate’s student leaders.

**New business**

*Resolution to Approve an Academic Program Leading to the Master of Science in Climate (Climate School)* (Education Committee). Education Committee co-chair James Applegate introduced Sen. Shelley Saltzman (TTOT, SPS), who led the review subcommittee for the degree proposal. On hand from the Climate School to answer questions were Interim Dean Jeffrey Shaman; Sen. Ruth DeFries, University Professor and a founding dean of the Climate School, and Jim Glover, Senior Associate Dean.

Sen. Saltzman described the proposed M.S. in Climate in some detail (Binder, 12-38).

At the end of Sen. Saltzman’s report, Sen. D'Armiento invited questions.

Sen. Madiyar Nurakhmetov (Stu., SIPA) asked for clarification of the differences between the M.S. in Climate and the following existing programs: the Climate School’s own M.A. in Climate and Society, the two SPS M.S. programs in Sustainability Science and Sustainability Management, and SIPA’s M.P.A. in Environmental Science and Policy, in which Sen. Nurakhmetov was enrolled. He noted that many of the program features that Sen. Saltzman had described as unique to the M.S. in Climate were available in his own program.

Prof. Jeffrey Shaman, the Climate’s School’s interim dean, said many of the features Sen. Nurakhmetov had mentioned—capstones, specializations, internships—are common to most legitimate academic programs. What is unique about the M.S. in Climate is its focus on climate, paired with other components, including the kinds of expertise identified in the other programs Sen. Nurakhmetov had mentioned, and in the three advanced certificates available as part of the M.S. in Climate program. Prof. Shaman anticipated other joint ventures (in Climate Finance with the Business School, in Energy Transitions with SEAS, in the built environment with the Architecture School, and so on).
Sen. Margaret Corn asked what would happen to the Climate School’s M.A. in Climate and Society program if the M.S. in Climate were to fulfill its promise as the school’s flagship program.

Dean Shaman said there would be a clear choice for students considering the two programs, and each may have enough appeal to remain viable options. The one-year M.A., program would appeal to one group both in its primary focus on social issues and its greater affordability. The two-year, more STEM-focused program M.S. program would give candidates more options for scientific specializations in different areas of climate studies.

Sen. Alexander Roman (TC) asked what the timeline would be for enrollment in the program and what the program would look like in final form. Dean Shaman said the aim was to launch the M.S. in Climate in the fall of 2025.

Sen. Ruth DeFries, a founding dean of the Climate School, thanked the subcommittee and Sen. Saltzman for conducting a thorough, rigorous review of the M.S. in Climate.

**Vote.** There being no further discussion, Sen. D’Armiento called for a vote. The Senate approved the program by a vote of 66-0 with no abstentions.

*Resolution Reconfirming Our Commitment to the Principles of Academic Freedom and Shared Governance* (Faculty Affairs, Academic Freedom, and Tenure Committee, and Student Affairs Committee). Two members of Faculty Affairs, Prof. Joseph Howley (Ten., A&S/Hum) and Sen. James Applegate, presented the resolution.

Prof. Howley mentioned a couple of current conditions that have prompted the Faculty Affairs Committee to draft the present resolution (Binder, 39-40). One is acute pressure on institutional leadership to take action against controversial speech and events that have riled campuses, including Columbia’s, in recent months.

Another relevant condition in the last few years, Prof. Howley said, has been clear political encroachment on higher education. He said friends and colleagues in state school systems, particularly in Florida and North Carolina, have seen the most aggressive form of this. And the last month or so has shown what it’s like when that attention is directed at Ivy League institutions.

Prof. Howley reviewed some of the main documents and ideas that figured in the resolution’s eight Whereas clauses, including the frameworks of rights and of responsibilities that constitute academic freedom; a set of key statements, including the Chicago Principles (2014) and the Kalven Report (1967); a set of Columbia documents, including the definition of academic freedom in the University Statutes, the Affirmative Statement in the Senate’s 2015 revision of the Rules of University Conduct, and a few Senate resolutions. These Whereas clauses support seven principles and standards, which Prof. Howley also summarized. They are the autonomy of faculty review and promotion procedures, freedom of speech and expression as laid out in the Affirmative Statement (Section 440 of the University Statutes), restraint by university leaders in taking political positions, active review of the university’s investments, a commitment to shared governance, reliance on the university’s own faculty expertise in policymaking, and reliance on the University Senate as an institutional defender of academic freedom.

Sen. Howley also mentioned several recent efforts by colleagues that helped to shape the resolution. One was the establishment over the semester break of the Columbia Academic Freedom Council, which is not an official institutional body, but has a number of Columbia faculty on it. Sen. Applegate had co-written the CAFC’s Statement of Responsibilities. Another is the Columbia chapter of the American
Association of University Professors, which had weighed in on academic freedom issues in recent months. And during the previous fall the Barnard faculty had completed a major discussion and referendum on academic freedom.

Sen. Applegate commented on the context of the resolution. He said he had been involved in these issues in the Senate for over a decade. They had also been much in the news lately, and he had been asked by reporters at the New York Times and the Wall Street Journal what academic freedom is.

Of the many possible answers to this question, he preferred to focus on the need for a tolerant, respectful culture that is needed to make academic freedom work. That includes a responsibility to be careful about how he expresses his ideas, the obligation to be a respectful listener, and the ability to respectfully disagree, and to understand that someone who attacks his ideas is not attacking him as a person.

Under conditions like these, academic freedom can play the crucial role it needs to in an educational institution.

Sen. D'Armiento noted that the resolution had strong support from President Shafik.

Sen. Cheng Gong (SEAS/Grad), vice chair of the Student Affairs Committee, expressed student support for the resolution. He said students are among the primary beneficiaries of a faculty that is free to explore and teach ideas without restraint. Education is not just about absorbing information, but also challenging assumptions, contributing to knowledge creation, and promoting the mutual respect that is essential in academic work.

Sen. D'Armiento addressed a question in the chat from Sen. Savannah Thais (Research Officers), who asked what happens when a faculty member makes a genuine threat of harassment. She said threats and other misconduct should be reported. She also noted that the Executive Committee has reported threats of harassment to administrators. A non-senator provided a URL in the chat for reporting complaints: https://universitylife.columbia.edu/report; another provided the name of the reporting mechanism: Maxient.

A question in the chat from Sen. Bernofsky asked whether department chairs count as institutional leadership, capable of speaking for the institution. Sen. D’Armiento responded that they do count that way.

At Sen. D’Armiento’s request, Prof. Howley addressed a question from Sen. Gordon in the chat: “What is a ‘political position’? Would condemnation of a Supreme Court case count as political?” Prof. Howley said this question and Sen. Bernofsky’s highlight part of the resolution that needs definition. He said Barnard has lately taken a maximalist approach to this question, whereas the principle of restraint would lead to a more minimal position. But for the purposes of the resolution what is counted as political positions is mostly statements.

As for Sen. Gordon’s question about condemnation of a Supreme Court decision, Prof. Howley recalled the statement from the Kalven Report that the University is the home of critics but is not itself the critic. What is the effect of leadership simply saying, This is good or bad, without taking action or making policy? This is the sort of thing that the academic freedom resolution is asking to happen as seldom as possible.

To Sen. Gordon’s other question, about what counts as a “strong institutional interest,” Prof. Howley said that that usually means a legal obligation. But one benefit of that of the principle of restraint is that
the University in most cases does not have to take a position because its default stance is that it does not take positions.

Sen. Applegate said one case in which the University has a compelling interest but no legal obligation is race in college admissions. But even in a case like this, it makes sense to assure that Law School classes can debate both sides of the issue.

Sen. D’Armiento interrupted here, to say the Senate needed to move on. But she said the spirit of the resolution was in keeping with Prof. Howley’s sense that the University should keep debating important issues continuously, without having to take positions on them. She said this was also President Shafik’s sense of the issue.

Vote. The Senate then approved the motion by a vote of 68-0, with two abstentions.

- Resolution to Endorse the University Policy for Recording Classes.

Sen. D’Armiento said Senior Vice Provost Soulaymane Kachani was present to describe the proposed policy (Binder, 40-43). She said this policy had been written by the administration. She hoped the Senate would endorse it.

Education Committee co-chair James Applegate said the proposed policy came from the provost’s office and essentially forbids the unauthorized recording of classes. He added to Education Committee members who were present that this measure reached the committee chairs after the last committee meeting on January 19.

Sen. Applegate said this topic had been discussed several times by the Education Committee in the last 10-15 years, going back to the advent of online education and MOOCs, which could record every last word said for the entirety of the class.

Sen. Applegate said his sense was that in general the norm should be not to record classes. A classroom must be an environment in which students are free to try on ideas for size. When this policy came to the Executive Committee, he suggested going to a vote because he didn’t think it would be controversial.

Sen. Letty Moss-Salentijn, Sen. Applegate’s co-chair, said that when the resolution came, it seemed innocuous enough to vote on. But several members of the Education committee correctly said it had not been discussed there, so she thought it should first be discussed and voted on in Education.

Sen. D’Armiento said the Executive Committee did vote on the resolution, and the Education Committee later also conducted an electronic vote. She said the Senate had asked the administration not to post policies without consulting the Senate. That’s why it went straight to the Executive Committee, and was in the Senate for discussion.

She asked Senior Vice Provost Kachani to present the policy, followed by Senate discussion.

Sen. Moss-Salentijn said this was not right.

SVP Kachani said his premise was that learning flourishes through thoughtful discussion that takes place constantly on the Columbia campus. He said the goal of the policy is to promote a spirit of trust and open exchange of ideas in Columbia classrooms.

He said the policy would prohibit unauthorized recording and provide restrictions on the recording of participants in classes. Authorized recordings would still be permitted, and faculty may record their courses after notifying students. But the expectation is that there will be no recording without explicit
permission from the instructor or absent a reasonable accommodation for a disability from Disability Services. And even authorized recordings may not be shared without the consent of those recorded. SVP Kachani said this policy borrows from a recent Yale policy.

He said that at least one senator had raised a question about one-party-consent law in New York State. Sen. Kachani said he had consulted with the General Counsel on this point, and had learned that the proposed policy establishes a higher standard, which is permitted. It would also forbid the recording of a conversation between two parties by someone who is not one of those two parties.

SVP Kachani hoped to start the conversation with the Senate and its committees. He invited questions.

Sen. D’Armiento recognized that this policy came to the Senate quickly, but she said that the present discussion was in response to the Senate’s insistence on having a chance to discuss policies before they are adopted.

Sen. Bernofsky asked whether there was some emergency reason why the Senate couldn’t wait one month, so that senators get to weigh in? She also wanted to know what students thought of the policy before any Senate vote.

Sen. D’Armiento said the emergency is the present moment in time, when people are getting filmed in class and put onto Tik Tok. Other institutions are addressing this, and it is seen by the administration—perhaps not the Senate—as something that needs to be addressed right away.

Sen. Susan Witte (Ten., SW) said that at the School of Social Work some faculty are currently trying to get a full faculty vote because the SSW has a mandated administrative policy that requires all courses to be recorded across the board. She asked whether she should bring this issue to her school administration.

Sen. D’Armiento recognized that this was a separate path from the Senate’s.

Sen. Erick Zent (Stu., CC) recalled an incident from a couple of years earlier in which a law professor said something that was recorded. Sen. Zent said filming can be a good enforcement mechanism, a way of keeping people accountable. Under the policy now under consideration, would a student be penalized for filming someone in that way?

Sen. D’Armiento doubted that a student would be penalized for bringing a recording to the administration. She thought the administration would pay attention to it. She said it was evident to her that the purpose of the policy was to make sure recordings don’t end up on Tik Tok.

Sen. D'Armiento took Sen. Zent’s point. She said it was important to recognize that policies have to be received with reasonable understanding. It would be right to fight for someone whose intention was not to break the policy. She thought that was a response the Senate would support.

Sen. Corn asked whether the policy could stop someone who was recording in an authorized manner (perhaps a student with a disability accommodation) from later sending out a transcript of the recording.

SVP Kachani said this general issue may need some more time. He said he had felt there was an urgency to get this done, as peer institutions had. Sen. D’Armiento agreed.

Sen. Michael Gerrard said the practice at the Law School is to automatically record all classes unless instructors decide otherwise. Students are told this at the start of the semester.
SVP Kachani said online degree programs follow the same procedure. He said the proposed policy was more concerned with the dissemination of recordings to the public without consent.

Sen. Jeanine D'Armiento said she thought dissemination of a recording was quite different from showing it to the administration.

Sen. Saltzman said she teaches an English language class at the Law School in the summer and has requested that the class not be recorded because it’s for international L.L.M students, who have worried that their statements in class could get them in trouble with their governments.

Sen. D'Armiento said the Senate would postpone a vote on the resolution on recording until the next plenary.

● Committee reports and updates

Creation of an FLI Student Space (Commission on Diversity, Student Affairs, Campus Planning and Physical Development). Sen. Natalie Voigt (TTOT, Nursing), faculty co-chair of the Diversity Commission, introduced Sen. Maria Martinez (Stu., CC), a co-author of the report (Binder, 40-68).

Sen. Martinez said this report had been in the works since September, and she was happy to be presenting it. She said the University defines FLI students as those whose parents have not received a four-year bachelor’s degree in the United States and can make minimal or no contribution to their student’s tuition. Sen. Martinez recognized herself as FLI.

She said Columbia has some excellent resources for FLI students, but they can be hard to find. Sometimes it can be hard to connect with the FLI library, the food pantry, or with UpLIFT, which provides special-interest housing for undergraduates. Students want to make these resources more accessible, in a single space.

Sen. Martinez said that was the goal of the report she was presenting now. A joint subcommittee drawn from the Senate Student Affairs and Campus Planning and Physical Development committees and the Diversity Commission had been established to support this effort. The subcommittee will help to redistribute resources more equitably across Columbia schools.

Sen. Martinez said one of the lessons learned from working with FLI student leaders is that FLI students don’t see each other much on campus. A dedicated FLI space would correct that condition. Sen. Martinez said it would also enable FLI students to make use of books, technologies, advisers, calculators, and tutors, and to bring unity to this underrepresented group. She said this effort will not replace what individual schools are doing for their FLI students. The point is to provide more resources for all schools.

Sen. Martinez handed off to Sadia Safa, a Columbia College senior and fellow Diversity Commission member who had been involved in FLI advocacy for the past three years. Ms. Safa said it wasn’t until the spring semester of 2022-23, when she attended a national FLI conference at Penn, that she actually got together with other FLI leaders from across the nation and realized how Columbia could potentially do much more to consolidate its resources. She showed a chart that she had put together after that conference showing each institution’s FLI resources, including some that she hoped to see Columbia bring to the table. She pointed out an FLI program called Penn First Plus, which includes a center for managing FLI resources and a gathering place for FLI students.
One important event at Columbia was a FLI student town hall, where undergraduates from various Columbia schools spoke about their experiences at Columbia. One of them told about his struggle with food insecurity, and how he was living day to day, can to can, from the food pantry, but not getting enough food. Other students spoke about a lack of transparency and proper communication with some Columbia offices, making it harder for FLI students to get access to needed resources, and taking up time they needed for studying and extra-curricular activities.

Another student said it was troubling and isolating that Columbia does not formally recognize First-Generation College Day on November 8. There was also no social media page highlighting the FLI identity.

Throughout these discussions it became clear to Ms. Safa and other student leaders that the institution did not recognize the intersectionality or the dynamic variations in the FLI experience. She said recognition and belonging are the key issues. Students need the resources not only to survive at Columbia, but to thrive and have better lives. She said the next step toward that goal is to establish an FLI center, humanizing the campus experience of FLI students.

Sen. Rosalba Savage, Diversity Commission student co-chair, spoke next. She shared a phrase that she said is common in first-generation Latino homes: “Ni de aqui, ni de alla” (Neither from here nor from there). She said this phrase captures the experience of first-generation students.

She said the transition for many can be complex and daunting, as FLI students try to navigate within a system that is historically constructed to oppress them. An FLI scholar at Columbia is likely an outlier, and seeking help may not be something they’re comfortable with.

FLI students are outsiders within academia, and then outsiders again when they return home, where they are sometimes seen as privileged. In her situation she is often called upon for help because she is viewed as someone with power provided by her education.

FLI students ask to be allowed to embrace their shared uniqueness and empower themselves so they can capitalize on those things that make them who they are. A place where they can share their experiences is an investment not only for them and for Columbia, but for future FLI students. A bridge is needed to get from here to there. Current FLI students are that bridge. They look forward to saying “De aqui y de alla,” from here and there.

Sen Voigt added one clarification: the Diversity Commission was not seeking a vote on the FLI proposal at the present meeting. That would happen at a later plenary.

Sen. DeFries praised the FLI presentation and proposal. She also asked one question: Could international students be classified as FLI?

She said that the parents of international students would likely not have an American bachelor’s degree, but they may or may not be low income. How would that situation be interpreted?

Sen. Martinez said her group is envisioning that any student who identifies as FLI should be considered. One reason was that financial status can change abruptly, even in the course of a semester. She recognized that problems of that kind might not be recognized through the financial aid system. But she wanted to ensure that an FLI space would be open not only to undergraduates but to students in all 16 Columbia schools. So she was hoping to open this space to anyone who identifies as FLI. She expected to address this issue in the joint subcommittee.
Sen. Gordon asked whether the FLI center would mainly serve Columbia College students and other undergrads rather than professional school students. He said the commonality of concerns across these groups was not clear to him. He also hoped that a final proposal would include some budgetary information, particularly about ongoing staff and other support.

About the commonality of concerns, Sen. Gordon asked whether FLI students would align mainly with already existing affinity groups reflecting their own ethnic identities. He said there seemed to be an implicit assumption that the FLI identity would cut across all groups. He was unsure about that assumption.

Sen. D'Armiento said questions about demographics and budgetary issues could be addressed when the final FLI proposal is presented.

Sen. Alexander Roman (TC) said FLI spaces had played a vital role in his own undergraduate experience at the University of Wisconsin at Madison, where the FLI facility is an entire gym building. He stressed the importance for FLI students of having clear access to important information. His own mother had struggled with this problem as a college student, and his father had had to drop out because he didn’t have basic information about the FAFSA process. If he had filed in time, he could have gone to college for free.

Sen. Romero added that affinity groups can play a vital role in disseminating critical information. Having run affinity groups, he understood the importance of the care and attention that they can provide. He said it’s very much a cultural thing. But an FLI space, with strong advocacy, can help students connect with the institution.

Sen. Adrian Brugger (Research Officers) thanked the presenters, and wholeheartedly supported their effort, and he was confident that that the Campus Planning and Physical Development Committee, on which he served, did as well.

He also wanted to underline the importance of recognizing that FLI students have special needs and challenges irrespective of origin.

He said he was the second person in his family to go to college, after his father. So he recognized the need, irrespective of ethnic backgrounds, in classes with students, especially during Covid, when he had students who said they couldn’t go back to their home state to take their classes, because there was no internet there. How could they take a remote class if they didn’t have communication beyond a barely working cell phone? Sen. Brugger said there are things that many at Columbia don’t even recognize, such as hunger, right here. If Columbia has funding for something like a cappella singing groups, it can find funding for more fundamental needs. He thought this issue was a no-brainer.

Adjourn. Sen. Jeanine D'Armiento also thanked the presenters, and looked forward to hearing a final FLI proposal at a subsequent plenary. She appreciated the patience of senators who had sat through a long meeting. She adjourned the meeting at about 2:50 pm.

Respectfully submitted,

Tom Mathewson, Senate staff
INFORMATION AND COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY POLICY COMMITTEE

ANNUAL REPORT FOR 2022-23

The IT Committee held seven regular meetings last year, along with its joint annual meeting with the Education committee and another end-of-year meeting with the Education and Student Affairs committees.

Here are the main topics and guests at those meetings:

September 30, 2022. The committee discussed the need for new forms for faculty and students to fill out instead of the current plethora of PDFs. Web Forms are currently replacing these and were discussed in more detail at the November 4 meeting. We also discussed the importance for students of getting access to Adobe Pro, Zoom Pro, and Google Collab Pro. Another issue was a problem on the uptown campus with duo factor authentication for certain programs or platforms.

November 4, 2022. There was a short presentation from Sen. Bruce Goumain (Stu., GS) on student problems with the registration process, which University Registrar Barry Kane promised to discuss later in more detail, focusing on a new version of Vergil being created to improve course searches.

EVP for Research Jeannette Wing and her group described a collaborative strategic planning effort to address research computing needs that they were currently working on with the faculty-led Shared Research Computing Policy Advisory Committee (SRCPAC), with the Columbia Medical Center, and the Zuckerman Institute. She also asked to hear from faculty about current problems with research computing, particularly having to do with High Performance Computing (HPC) and the Cloud. CUIT VP Gaspare LoDuca also mentioned the need for training researchers in the more advanced uses of computers to work on their grants.

EVP for Finance and IT Anne Sullivan and her team presented new work on CU Concur for travel and expense management, pointing out how world travel was finally growing after the pandemic. Her team is offering training systems and has published multiple “Quick Guides” for many new staff and faculty who want to use Concur now that the pandemic is over.

December 16, 2022. There was a discussion with Registrar Barry Kane about some students’ SSOL outages during early spring registration in November and the search functionality of the current version of Vergil, which is currently being upgraded. The new version will be available in Spring 2024. The old directory of classes is also being replaced by something better.

Next there was a talk by Prof. Christopher Marianetti, retiring chair of SRCPAC, about faculty involvement in that system. An important addition had been programming “boot camps” to explain
to faculty how to use the system to visualize their data. The expenses of Cloud Computing for faculty and finding Columbia funding to buy into clusters outside Columbia was also discussed. Another topic was the loss of staff at SRCPAC as well as at CUIT and Rascal during the pandemic, and what could be done to address this problem. There was also a follow-up discussion on replacing PDF forms, with Sen. Adam Cannon (TTOT, SEAS) presenting the FormAssembly services to CUIT.

**January 27, 2023.** The IT Committee met with Chad Neal, Chief Information Officer of CUIMC who provided an update on the three-year Wi-Fi upgrade project at the uptown campus.

Iddo Drori, Adjunct Professor of Computer Science, gave a presentation about a process he has been developing to use ChatGPT to administer and handle basic preparations for a course, including the work of producing and grading homework exercises for students. He noted the usefulness and also some of the limitations of this process

**February 17, 2023.** Maneesha Aggarwal, AVP for Academic, Emerging Technologies and Research Services, discussed several projects funded by industry partners that the Emerging Technology Consortium members are pursuing, including a technological innovation for use in monitoring blood pressure in pediatric surgery and an effective new way to combat air pollution in an extremely hot urban setting by planting trees and vegetation using Virtual Reality data provided by a surveying drone.

There was also a presentation on technical and pedagogical issues related to Courseworks by Center for Teaching and Learning Executive Director Catherine Ross and her group.

**March 24, 2023.** Gaspare LoDuca and Barry Kane spoke at some length on their years-long, comprehensive effort to upgrade the Student Information System and revamp the registration process.

**May 1, 2023.** At the annual joint meeting of the IT and Education committees, five faculty and members and administrators discussed the educational implications of ChatGPT, identifying the system’s strengths and weaknesses, including the opportunities it provides for students to cheat on their work. Information on how to combat this problem was also discussed. The presenters were Computer Science professors Zhou Yu, Vishal Misra, and Julia Hirschberg, along with Catherine Ross (CTL) and Victoria Malaney-Brown, Director for Academic Integrity for CC and SEAS.

**May 2, 2023.** The IT Committee met jointly with the Education and Student Affairs committees to hear a presentation from Senior Vice Provost Soulaymane Kachani on Columbia Online, a new venture encompassing all of Columbia’s major online educational initiatives, intended “to ensure the richest and most dynamic educational environment possible for Columbia’s students, as well as learners outside the University.”
At the end of the year, we said good-bye to my co-chair of the last decade, Prof. Matt Jones, who left Columbia for another university. He brought his steadfast skepticism and wide-ranging perspective as an historian of science to our experience of our technological moment.

We would like to offer again our particular thanks to Gaspare Lo Duca and to Barry Kane, who have always shared their time and energy unstintingly with our committee. Our meetings were as stimulating last year as ever!

Respectfully submitted,

Julia Hirschberg, Chair, IT Committee
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IT Committee Report from 2022-23

Julia Hirschberg, Chair
Computer Science Dept.
• **September 30, 2022.** The committee discussed the need for new forms for faculty and students to fill out instead of the current plethora of PDFs. Web Forms are currently replacing these and were discussed in more detail at the November 4 meeting. We also discussed the importance for students of getting access to Adobe Pro, Zoom Pro and Google Colab Pro

• **November 4, 2022.***
  – There was a short presentation from Sen. Bruce Goumain (Stu., GS) on student problems with the registration process, which University Registrar Barry Kane promised to discuss later in more detail, focusing on a new version of Vergil being created to improve course searches.
  – EVP for Research Jeannette Wing and her group described a collaborative strategic planning effort to address research computing needs they’re working on with the faculty-led Shared Research Computing Policy Advisory Committee (SRCPAC), with the Columbia Medical Center, and the Zuckerman Institute.
– She also asked to hear from faculty about current problems with research computing, particularly having to do with High Performance Computing (HPC) and the Cloud. CUIT VP Gaspare LoDuca also mentioned the need for training researchers in the more advanced uses of computers to work on their grants.

– EVP for Finance and IT Anne Sullivan and her team presented new work on CU Concur for travel and expense management, pointing out how world travel was finally growing after the pandemic. Her team is offering training systems and has published multiple “Quick Guides” for many new staff and faculty who want to use Concur now that the pandemic is over.

• December 16, 2022.

– There was a discussion with Registrar Barry Kane about some students’ SSOL outages during early spring registration in November and the search functionality of the current version of Vergil, which is currently being upgraded. The new version will be available in Spring 2024. The old directory of classes is also being replaced by something better.
Next there was a talk by Prof. Christopher Marianetti, retiring chair of SRCPAC, about faculty involvement in that system of HPC clusters. An important addition had been programming “boot camps” to explain to faculty how to use the system to visualize their data. The expenses of Cloud Computing for faculty and finding Columbia funding to buy into clusters outside Columbia was also discussed. Another topic was the loss of staff at SRCPAC as well as at CUIT and Rascal during the pandemic, and what could be done to address this problem. There was also a follow-up discussion on replacing PDF forms, with Sen. Adam Cannon (TTOT, SEAS) presenting the FormAssembly services to CUIT.

- The IT Committee met with Chad Neal, Chief Information Officer of CUIMC, who provided an update on the 3-year wifi upgrade project at the uptown campus.
- Iddo Drori, Adjunct Professor of Computer Science, gave a presentation about a process he has been developing to use ChatGPT to administer and handle basic preparations for a course, including the work of producing and grading homework exercises for students. He noted the usefulness and also some of the limitations of this process.
• February 17, 2023.
  – Maneesha Aggarwal, AVP for Academic, Emerging Technologies and Research Services, discussed several projects funded by industry partners that the Emerging Technology Consortium members are pursuing, including a technological innovation for use in monitoring blood pressure in pediatric surgery and an effective new way to combat air pollution in an extremely hot urban setting by planting trees and vegetation using Virtual Reality data provided by a surveying drone.
  – There was also a presentation on technical and pedagogical issues related to Courseworks by Center for Teaching and Learning Executive Director Catherine Ross and her group.
• March 24, 2023. Gaspare LoDuca and Barry Kane spoke at some length on their years-long, comprehensive effort to upgrade the Student Information System and revamp the registration process.
• May 1, 2023. At the annual joint meeting of the IT and Education committees, faculty, senate members and administrators discussed the educational implications of ChatGPT, identifying the system’s strengths and weaknesses, including the opportunities it provides for students to cheat on their work.
End of our 2022-23 Year

• At the end of the year we said good-bye to my co-chair of the last decade, Prof. Matt Jones, who left Columbia for another university. He brought his steadfast skepticism and wide-ranging perspective as an historian of science to our experience of our technological moment.
• We would like to offer again our particular thanks to Gaspare Lo Duca, Maneesha Agarwal and Barry Kane, who have always shared their time and energy unstintingly with our committee. Our meetings were as stimulating last year as ever!
RESOLUTION TO APPROVE THE ESTABLISHMENT OF THE
ROY AND DIANA VAGELOS INSTITUTE FOR BIOMEDICAL RESEARCH EDUCATION

WHEREAS a pressing need has emerged to strengthen the enterprise of graduate student and early-career research in the biomedical sciences, which has languished in recent decades in the United States; and

WHEREAS this problem has been evident at the Vagelos College of Physicians and Surgeons in the lack of a consistent and sufficient source of funding to support graduate students who want to pursue research; and

WHEREAS a gift of $175 million from Roy and Diana Vagelos has enabled the Medical School to launch a major effort to revitalize graduate student research, and

WHEREAS this gift will include an endowment of $125 million for Ph.D. students, which will be especially important in the first two years of their programs; and

WHEREAS the remaining $50 million will support medical students, residents, fellows and young physicians seeking to pursue full careers as physician-scientists, and

WHEREAS a Director of Graduate Training, Professor Hashim al-Hashimi, has been appointed for the proposed Institute, which will be governed by a steering committee led by Katrina Armstrong, Executive Vice President for Health and Biomedical Sciences and Dean of the Faculties of Health Sciences and the Vagelos College of Physicians and Surgeons;

WHEREAS internal and external committees of distinguished scientists have provided advice and guidance during the gestation of the Institute, and

WHEREAS the fundamental goal of all of these efforts is to enable scientific advancement, through discoveries both modest and, from time to time, stunning and transformative, and

WHEREAS the University Senate Education Committee has reviewed and endorsed plans for the proposed Institute;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the University Senate approve the establishment of the Roy and Diana Vagelos Institute for Biomedical Research Education, and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the University Senate forward this resolution to the Columbia Board of Trustees for appropriate action.

Proponent: Education Committee
1. **Brief description and rationale:** Provide the unit name, mission, objectives, and expected impact on the University from its activities. Describe how it will transcend school and department lines, and how it could enhance Columbia’s strengths and expand its research horizons.

Currently in the United States, PhD students, MDs in training, residents, fellows, and early-career physician-scientists who pursue a career in basic, translational or clinical research must contend with both financial challenges and professional uncertainty\(^1\). NIH funds just one in five applications for initial independent research support made by scientific investigators\(^2\). Accounting for inflation, funding from the National Institutes of Health only recently began to emerge from a 20-year period of decline and stagnation\(^3\). Early-career biomedical scientists are navigating their way through a shrunken support system that suffers from too few resources and too much career instability. They are often pressured in ways subtle and not so subtle to build on accepted knowledge, instead of pursuing what is disruptive, fascinating, and ultimately capable of driving science forward. Inadequate pay and poor benefits disproportionately discourage aspiring scientists of color and those from low-income households. The number of physicians engaged in research has dwindled to a third of what it was in the 1980s. Not surprisingly, recent evidence suggests that our greatest breakthroughs are fewer and further between\(^4\), at the very moment when public health challenges are multiplying and becoming more urgent.

To address these pressing concerns for the future of biomedical research, the Vagelos College of Physicians and Surgeons seeks to establish the Roy and Diana Vagelos Institute for Biomedical Research Education (VIBRE), funded by Roy and Diana Vagelos’ recent $175 million gift to Columbia University (Appendix A). The Vagelos Institute for Biomedical Research Education (VIBRE) created with this gift will be home to PhD students pursuing the most creative, potentially disruptive ideas in biomedical science, and will spur the training of more physician-scientists able to translate the latest paradigm-shifting discoveries into revolutionary new methods in patient care.

By directly addressing and mitigating these obstacles, the VIBRE seeks to create an academic research environment conducive to bold experimentation and a sustained commitment to solving longstanding medical problems. Reducing the disproportionate financial burdens that deter historically marginalized groups from pursuing a career in science is a central pillar of this program.

---

1 The number of physician-scientists has dwindled over recent decades due largely to the challenges for medical trainees and early-career MDs interested in research careers. A 2017 NEJM article, "Saving the Endangered Physician-Scientist—A Plan for Accelerating Medical Breakthroughs (link is external and opens in a new window)," cites finances and funding as the top issues contributing to the decline in number of physicians.

2 Success Rates: R01-Equivalent and Research Project Grants: [https://report.nih.gov/nihdatabook/category/10](https://report.nih.gov/nihdatabook/category/10)

3 National Institutes of Health (NIH) Funding: FY1996-FY2023: [https://sgp.fas.org/crs/misc/R43341.pdf](https://sgp.fas.org/crs/misc/R43341.pdf)

4 Papers and patents are becoming less disruptive over time: [https://www.nature.com/articles/s41586-022-05543-x](https://www.nature.com/articles/s41586-022-05543-x)
2. **Leadership:** Describe the process by which Director is appointed, duration of appointment, and the individual to whom the Director will report.

Anchored at the Vagelos College of Physicians and Surgeons, the Institute will be led initially and on an interim basis by Katrina Armstrong, MD, MSCE, as Dean of VP&S and EVP for Health and Biomedical Sciences. Effective January 1, 2024, the Director of Graduate Training in the VIBRE is distinguished faculty member, Hashim M. Al-Hashimi, PhD, Roy and Diana Vagelos Professor of Biochemistry and Molecular Biophysics, who will also transition to the position of Associate Dean for Biomedical Graduate Education effective July 1, 2024. His CV is attached (Appendix B). He will be responsible for developing a new academic model for biomedical PhD education at VP&S.

As initial steps in the development of the VIBRE, Dean Armstrong appointed and charged a “Graduate Education Future State Task Force” consisting of outstanding Columbia University scientific faculty. She also invited an External Scientific Advisory Board (ESAB) to provide expertise from leaders in the field of graduate biomedical education. The CU task force included:

- Hashim Al Hashimi, PhD, Roy and Diana Vagelos Professor of Biochemistry and Molecular Biophysics; [https://www.biochem.cuimc.columbia.edu/research/research-labs/al-hashimi-lab](https://www.biochem.cuimc.columbia.edu/research/research-labs/al-hashimi-lab)
- Dmitriy Aronov, PhD, Assistant Professor of Neuroscience at the Zuckerman Institute; [https://www.aronovlab.com/](https://www.aronovlab.com/)
- Henry Colecraft, PhD, John C. Dalton Professor of Physiology and Cellular Biophysics and Professor of Molecular Pharmacology and Therapeutics; [https://www.pharmacology.cuimc.columbia.edu/research/colecraft-lab](https://www.pharmacology.cuimc.columbia.edu/research/colecraft-lab)
- Laura Johnston, PhD, Professor of Genetics and Development; [https://www.genetics.cuimc.columbia.edu/research-labs/johnston-lab](https://www.genetics.cuimc.columbia.edu/research-labs/johnston-lab)
- Emily Mace, PhD, Associate Professor of Pediatric Immunology (in Pediatrics); [https://www.macelab.nyc/](https://www.macelab.nyc/)
- Kenneth Olive, PhD, Associate Professor of Medicine; [https://www.olivelab.org/](https://www.olivelab.org/)
- Samuel Sternberg, PhD, Assistant Professor of Biochemistry and Molecular Biophysics; [https://www.sternberglab.org/](https://www.sternberglab.org/)
- Chunhua Weng, PhD, Professor of Biomedical Informatics; [https://people.dbmi.columbia.edu/~chw7007/](https://people.dbmi.columbia.edu/~chw7007/)
- Danielle Matsushima, PhD, Director of Research and Strategic Initiatives in the VP&S Office for Research, Alumna of the Genetics and Development PhD Program, and task force facilitator

The Executive Summary of the task force proceedings, “Reimagining the VP&S Biomedical PhD Graduate Programs,” is attached (Appendix C), as is a PowerPoint summary presented to the VP&S Faculty Council (Appendix D).

The ESAB is listed below and has met to comment and react to the Graduate Education Future State Task Force, and they will convene yearly.
As outlined in the gift agreement for the Vageloses’ commitment, VIBRE will be governed by a Steering Committee which Dr. Armstrong will chair. The Steering Committee will be selected by Dean Armstrong in consultation with the Associate Dean for Biomedical Graduate Medical Education, the Vice Dean for Research and other outstanding Columbia University scientific faculty. The initial term for Steering Committee members shall be 3 years. The committee will oversee and establish guidelines for the programs to be developed as part of the Institute’s activities. The committee will work with the ESAB to optimize expertise in biomedical education as new PhD and Physician Scientist Pathways programs are developed. VP&S faculty members who participate in the training of students, residents, or fellows as part of the programs made possible by the gift shall be considered members of the Institute.

3. **Host**: Indicate the University unit(s) (school or schools) that will host the unit, as appropriate.

The Vagelos Institute will reside in the Columbia University Vagelos College of Physicians and Surgeons.

4. **Letter of support**: A letter of support from the leaders of the units hosting the unit (e.g., Dean or Executive Vice President) must be included.

(Appendix E)

5. **Size**: Provide the anticipated number of faculty; number of post-doctoral fellows/scientists/scholars or student members (if any); and any staffing needs.

Any VP&S faculty member who participates in the research training of students, residents, or fellows as part of the programs made possible by the gift shall be considered members of the Institute. Student, trainees, or post-doctoral fellows/scientists/scholars participating in the programs established by the gift shall also be considered student members. Staffing will be provided initially by an administrative coordinator and with support from the VP&S Office of the Associate Vice Dean for Graduate Affairs. Additional faculty members will be supported by the Dean’s office to develop key scientific and educational roles during the implementation phase of revised graduate education training.
6. **Membership:** Give a summary of how faculty, post-docs, and students (as appropriate) can apply and be selected for membership and their duration of appointment.

Membership in the Institute shall include any faculty member who participates in the research training of students, residents, or fellows as part of the programs established by the commitment from Roy and Diana Vagelos. Application procedures for students, residents, fellows, or other trainees shall be established by the VP&S Dean, the Associate Dean for Graduate Education, in conjunction with the Steering Committee, with input from the External Scientific Advisory Board.

7. **Space:** Describe the space required, and the proposed physical location of the unit.

The Center will be housed at the Vagelos College of Physicians and Surgeons and will exist virtually on the Columbia University Irving Medical Center campus. The laboratories and existing departmental and school-based facilities used for training of students and trainees in biomedical research will be leveraged in support of the Institute’s training mission. VIBRE will coordinate curriculum, set, and measure standards for best practices in training the scientific workforce. Traditional departments and disciplines will continue to provide specific scientific laboratory and didactic experiences.

8. **Goals:** Provide a list and brief description of the major goals for scholarship and educational programming, including community outreach and clinical services, as appropriate, during the unit’s first five years.

The Institute and the funding provided by Roy and Diana Vagelos will enable Columbia to create a new academic model that encourages and accelerates the intellectual risk-taking needed to make historic advances in health science research. The Institute will develop programs, curriculum tracks, and meaningful research training opportunities that create supportive career pathways, promising stability and academic freedom, to attract more students and junior faculty to the essential endeavor of biomedical research. The funding for this program importantly will provide significant support to students and trainees, thus increasing opportunities to those from a broad range of backgrounds where financial pressures of continued education would be a barrier to seeking advanced scientific training.

In accordance with the terms of the Vagelos gift, the general plan will be as follows:

- $125 million of the funds shall be in the form of an endowment to insure the long-term survival of the VIBRE and will be used to support tuition, stipends, fees, living expenses of PhD and MD/PhD students during their PhD training. VP&S will thereby be able to support the costs of 1st and 2nd year PhD students, as well as expand the class size of PhD students. This support will encourage students of diverse backgrounds to pursue such training without financial stress.
- $50 million will be used to support the development of Physician Scientists. Physician Scientists who move easily between bench and bedside play an irreplaceable role in biomedical research. The numbers of physician-scientists have decreased significantly and must be replenished using new funding and training models. VIBRE presents
Columbia University’s VP&S with an unparalleled opportunity to lead in replenishing the critical mass of physician-scientists. Funding will support medical student research awards for students to undertake mentored research projects. This funding mechanism will also support research training of residents and fellows. An additional portion of the funding will provide salary and research support to early career physician faculty who often struggle to balance the pressures of income generating clinical work and time/effort spent developing research careers.

- A recent presentation for the VP&S Faculty Council is included for details on the process leading to recommendations for VIBRE. (Appendix D)

9. **Budget**: Provide a budget for the first five years, clearly indicating funding already acquired versus funding still needed. List all grant resources, philanthropic resources, or associated gifts.

Funding for the programs to be developed by the Institute will be supported by the Vagelos commitment of $175 million. $125 million will fund an endowment that transforms the model for supporting PhD students, allowing for the transformation of the curriculum and engagement of a more diverse pool of candidates. $50 million will be used to fund programs to support aspiring physician-scientists seeking to develop expertise in both fundamental biology and clinical medicine, including research programs for medical students, resident trainees and fellows conducting graduate medical education, and early-career physician-scientists just transitioning to faculty positions.

See attached outline of proposed long-term funding structures for programs to be developed by the Vagelos Institute. (Appendix F)

Appendix A: Gift Agreement for the Roy and Diana Vagelos $175 million gift to Columbia University.

Appendix B: Dr. Hashim Al-Hashimi’s CV.

Appendix C: “Reimagining the VP&S Biomedical PhD Graduate Programs,” the Executive Summary of task force proceedings.

Appendix D: Faculty Council presentation by Dr. Hashim Al-Hashimi.

Appendix E: Letter of support.

Appendix F: Proposed Funding Model.
APPENDIX B:

Dr. Hashim Al-Hashimi’s CV
Hashim M. Al-Hashimi
Roy and Diana Vagelos Professor of Biochemistry & Molecular Biophysics
Columbia University Irving Medical Center
New York, NY 10032
Email: ha2639@cumc.columbia.edu

Education
- Ph.D. in Biophysical Chemistry Yale University December 2000
- B.S. in Chemistry Imperial College London UK May 1995
- International Baccalaureate UWC Atlantic College Wales UK May 1992

Positions
5/22-present  Roy and Diana Vagelos Professor of Biochemistry & Molecular Biophysics, Columbia University
5/18–4/22  Vice Chair, Department of Biochemistry, Duke University
9/14–4/22  Director Duke Center for RNA Biology, Duke University
1/14–4/15  Professor of Biochemistry and Chemistry, Duke University
9/12–12/13  J Lawrence Oncley Collegiate Professor University of Michigan
9/12–9/13  Acting Director of Biophysics, University of Michigan
9/10–9/13  Associate Director of Biophysics, University of Michigan
9/09–8/12  Robert L Kuczkowski Professor, University of Michigan
1/10–current  Co-Founder and Chair of Scientific Advisory Board, Nymirum Inc
9/09–8/09  Robert L Kuczkowski Associate Professor, University of Michigan
9/08–8/09  Associate Professor of Chemistry & Biophysics, University of Michigan
9/02–8/08  Assistant Professor of Chemistry & Biophysics, University of Michigan
5/02–8/02  Senior Research Scientist, Memorial Sloan-Kettering Institute (advisor Dinshaw J Patel)
5/01–4/02  Research Associate Memorial Sloan-Kettering Institute (advisor Dinshaw J Patel)
5/00–4/01  Research Fellow Memorial Sloan-Kettering Institute (advisor Dinshaw J Patel)

Honors & Awards
2021  Fellow of the Biophysical Society
2020  Fellow of the International Society of Magnetic Resonance
2020  NAS Award in Molecular Biology National Academy of Science
2015  James B. Duke Distinguished Professorship
2014  Akutsu Award of the Korean NMR Society
2013  Agilent Technologies Thought Leader Award
2013  Vilcek Prize for Creative Promise in Biomedical Science
2012  Founder’s Medal International Conference on Magnetic Resonance in Biological Systems
2012  Collegiate Professorship University of Michigan
2011  Popular Science Magazine 'Brilliant 10' scientists and engineers in USA
2009  LSA Excellence in Teaching Award University of Michigan
2009  Robert L Kuczkowski Faculty Career Enhancement Award
2006  National Science Foundation Career Award
2004  Ralph E Powe Junior Faculty Enhancement Award
Named Lectureships, Plenary, & Keynote Talks

2022  Keynote 5th Annual RNA-targeted Drug Discovery Boston MA December 13-15
2022  Gitte Vold lecture October 27th UCSD
2022  Keynote 2022 Canadian Chemistry Conference and Exhibition June 13 to 17, 2022.
2021  Keynote 4th RNA Targeted Drug Discovery Summit December 8
2021  Keynote Rustbelt RNA Meeting Columbus September 10
2021  Sarkar lecture Hospital for Sick Children Toronto Canada May 31
2020  Atlantic Circle – InConversation June 26
2019  Keynote NCI RNA Biology Initiative Retreat November 4
2019  Plenary Lecture 4th Instruct Biennial Structural Biology Conference Alcalá de Henares Spain May 23
2018  Plenary 7th CMBI Meeting of the Center of Molecular Biosciences Innsbruck Vill Austria September 19
2018  Keynote Annual Symposium of the Molecular Biophysics Graduate Program NYU May 25th
2018  Plenary iNEXT Annual User Meeting Grenoble France March 19-21 2018 (declined)
2017  Keynote Gateway NMR conference OSU Columbus November 4
2017  Plenary 16th NMR Users Meeting Angra dos Reis Rio de Janeiro Brazil May 8-12 (declined)
2016  Keynote 72nd ACS Southwest Regional Meeting The Galveston Island Convention Center Galveston Texas November 10-13 2016 (declined)
2016  Keynote Société Française de Biophysique/ Groupe Thématique Biologie de l'Association Française de Cristallographie Obernai Alsace France December 13-16
2016  Keynote Regional Biophysics Conference Hamline University October 15
2016  Seminar series of luminary scientists Masaryk University Brno Czech Republic March 24
2015  Plenary Frontiers of Science: Research and Education in the Middle East Malta VII Conference Rabat Morocco November 15-20
2014  KAIST Chemistry Lectureship Korean NMR Society Gyeongju South Korea July 15-17
2014  Korean NMR Society Akutsu Award Lecture Gyeongju South Korea July 14
2014  Plenary European Magnetic Resonance conference EUROMAR Zurich June 29-July 3
2013  Plenary 5th Asia-Pacific NMR Symposium Brisbane Australia Oct 27-31
2013  Distinguished Scientist Lecture Seminar - National Cancer Institute at Frederick Sept 25-26
2013  Keynote Computational Aspects of Biomolecular NMR Gordon Research Conference June 2-7
2013  Plenary 18th ISMRM Meeting Rio de Janeiro Brazil May 21
2013  Future of Biophysics Burroughs Welcome Fund Symposium Biophysical Society 57th Meeting
2012  Plenary ICMRBS Lyon France August 19-24
2011  Science at the Edge Seminar MSU February
2010  Keynote Chicago Area NMR Discussion Group UIUC November
2010  Plenary Symposium on RNA in Motion Iowa State University September
2010  Keynote XXIVth ICMRBS Cairns Australia August
2009  Plenary EUROMAR Göteborg Sweden July
2008  Plenary 49th ENC Conference Asilomar CA March

Service & Professional Activities

Associate Editor Magnetic Resonance (November 2019-current)
Editorial Board Structure (February 2015-current)
Member NIH Study Section Macromolecular Structure and Function D (2019-current)
ISMAR Fellows Committee (2022-current)
Member Duke University Executive Oversight Committee (2019-2023)
Member Duke University MEDx Steering Committee (2017-2023)
Co-Founder and Chair of Scientific Advisory Board Base4 Inc (Durham, NC)
Editorial Board Journal of Biological Chemistry (July 2012-2017)
Member NCI Board of Scientific Counselors for Basic Sciences (2015-2020)
Member Duke Academic Council (2019-2020)
Member Duke University Provost APT committee (2016-2019)
Member Advisory Board for BioMagResBank (2010-2015)
Chair Symposium on RNA Biology XI: RNA Tool and Target October 16 – 17 2015
Chair RNA Biology 2019 Annual Meeting of the American Society of Biochemistry & Molecular Biology
April 6-10 2019 Orlando FL
Member organizing committee for workshops on “RNA Dynamics” Telluride CO July 2009 and “RNA Electrostatics” Telluride CO July 2017

Publications


162 Revealing Hoogsteen base pairs in crystal structures of protein-DNA complexes Shi H, Kimsey IJ, Liu H, Pham U, Schumacher MA*, and Al-Hashimi HM* *Nucleic Acid Res* 49(21):12540-12555 2021

158 DNA mismatches reveal widespread conformational penalties in protein-DNA recognition
MA, Poon GMK, Haran TE, Schumacher MA, Al-Hashimi HM*, Gordan R* Nature
587(7833):291-296 2020

157 2'-O-methylation can increase the abundance and lifetime of alternative RNA conformational
states Abou Assi A, Rangadurai A, Shi H, Liu B, Clay M, Erharter KA, Kreutz C, Holley C, Al-

156 Rapid and accurate determination of atomistic RNA dynamic ensemble models using NMR and
structure prediction Shi H, Rangadurai A, Abou Assi A, Roy R, Case DA, Herschlag D, Joseph
DY, Al-Hashimi HM Nat Comm 11:5531 2020

155 Hoogsteen base pairs increase the susceptibility of double-stranded DNA to cytotoxic damage
15947 2020 *Co-First Authors

154 Probing conformational transitions towards mutagenic Watson-Crick-like G•T mismatches using
off-resonance sugar carbon R1ρ relaxation dispersion Rangadurai A, Szymanski ES, Kimsey I,
Shi H, Al-Hashimi HM J Biomol NMR 74(8-9):457-471 2020

153 Environmental Effects on Guanine-Thymine Mispair Tautomerization Explored with Quantum
Mechanical/Molecular Mechanical Free Energy Simulations Li P, Rangadurai A, Al-Hashimi HM,

152 Anomalous reverse transcription through chemical modifications in polyadenosine stretches
59(23):2154–2170 2020

151 Extending the Sensitivity of CEST to Micro-to-Millisecond Dynamics in Nucleic Acids Using High
Power Radio-Frequency Fields Rangadurai A, Shi H, Al-Hashimi HM Angewandte Chemie
International Edition 59(28):11262-11266 2020

150 m6A minimally impacts the structure, dynamics, and Rev ARM binding properties of HIV-1 RRE

149 Demonstration that small molecules can bind and stabilize low-abundance short-lived RNA
excited conformational states Ganser LR, Patwardhan NN, Hargrove AE, and Al-Hashimi HM*
JMB 432(4):1297-1304 2020

148 Exposing Hidden High-Affinity RNA Conformational States Orlovskya NI, Al-Hashimi HM* and

147 NMR chemical exchange reveals that N6-methyladenosine slows RNA annealing Shi H, Liu B,
Nussbaumer F, Rangadurai A, Kreutz C*, and Al-Hashimi HM* J Am Chem Soc 141(51):19988-
19993 2019

146 Probing RNA conformational equilibria in the functional cellular context Ganser LR*, Chu C*,
Bogerd HP, Kelly ML, Cullen BR, and Al-Hashimi HM Cell Rep 30(8):2472-2480 2020 *Co-First
Authors

Direct evidence for (G)O6•••H2-N4(C)+ hydrogen bonding in transient G(syn)-C+ and G(syn)-m5C+ Hoogsteen base pairs in duplex DNA from cytosine amino nitrogen off-resonance R1ρ relaxation dispersion measurements. Rangadurai A, Kremser J, Shi H, Kreutz C, and Al-Hashimi HM. *JMR* 308(10):106589 2019


102 Mouzakis KD, Dethoff DA, Tonelli M, Al-Hashimi, H. and Butcher SE HIV-1 frameshift site
dynamics reveal general RNA junction behavior *Biophys J* 108(3):644-54 2015


100 Nikolova EN, Stull F, Al-Hashimi HM Guanine to Inosine Substitution Leads to Large Increases in the Population of a Transient G•C Hoogsteen Base Pair *Biochemistry* 53(46):7145-7 2014


97 Alvey HS, Gottardo FL, Nikolova EN, Al-Hashimi HM Widespread Transient Hoogsteen Base-Pairs in Canonical Duplex DNA with Variable Energetics *Nat Communications* 5:4786 2014


88 Ganser LR, Mustoe AM, and Al-Hashimi HM An RNA Tertiary Switch By Modifying How Helices are Tethered *Genome Biol* 15:425 2014


84 Al-Hashimi HM NMR Studies of Nucleic Acid Dynamics *J Magn Reson* 237:191-204 2013


Suzuki Y Buer BC Al-Hashimi HM and Marsh EN Using Fluorine NMR to Probe Changes in Structure and Dynamics of Membrane-Active Peptides Interacting with Lipid Bilayers *Biochemistry* 50(27):5979-87 2011

Nikolova EN and Al-Hashimi HM Thermodynamics of RNA Melting One Base Pair at a Time *RNA* 16(9):1687-91 2010


Al-Hashimi HM Exciting Structures *Science* 329(5997):1295-6 2010

Buer BC Chugh J Al-Hashimi HM and Marsh EN Using fluorine NMR to probe the interaction of membrane-active peptides with the lipid bilayer *Biochemistry* 49(27):5760-5 2010

Stelzer AC Kratz JD Zhang Q and Al-Hashimi HM RNA Dynamics by Design: Biasing Ensemble Towards Ligand Bound State *Angew Chem Int Ed* 49(33):5731-3 2010 (Featured on the cover)


47 Stelzer AC Frazee RW Van Huis C Cleary J Glick GD and Al-Hashimi HM NMR Studies of an Immunomodulatory Benzodiazepine Binding to its Molecular Target on the Mitochondrial F1F0-ATPase *Biopolymers* 93(1):85-92 2009


42 Frank AT Stelzer AC Al-Hashimi HM and Andricioaei I RNA Structural Ensembles From Combination of MD and NMR RDCs: New Insights Into Dynamics and Adaptive Recognition *Nucleic Acid Res* 37(11):3670-9 2009


39 Dethoff EA Hansen A L Musselman C Watt ED Andricioaei I and Al-Hashimi HM Characterizing Complex Dynamics in the TAR Apical Loop and Motional Correlations with the Bulge by NMR MD and Mutagenesis *Biophys J* 95:3906 2008


37 Al-Hashimi HM and Walter NG RNA Dynamics: It is about time *Curr Opin Struct Bio* 18(3):321-9 2008


34 Musselman C Al-Hashimi HM Andricioaei I AnRED Analysis of TAR RNA Reveals Motional Coupling Long-Range Correlations and a Dynamical Hinge *Biophys J* 93:411-22 2007


31 Getz M Sun X Casiano-Negroni A Zhang Q and Al-Hashimi HM NMR Studies of RNA Structural Plasticity and Dynamics using Residual Dipolar Couplings *Biopolymers* 86:384-402 2007

30 Sun X Zhang Q and Al-Hashimi HM Resolving Fast and Slow Motions in the Internal Loop Containing Stem-loop 1 of HIV-1 that Are Modulated by Mg2+ Binding: Role in the Kissing-duplex Structural Transition *Nucleic Acid Res* 35:1698-713 2007

29 Al-Hashimi HM Beyond Static structures of RNA by NMR: Folding re-folding and dynamics at atomic resolution *Biopolymers* 86:345-7 2007


23 Pitt S Zhang Q Patel DJ and Al-Hashimi HM Electrostatic interactions dictate the ligand-induced arrest of RNA global motions *Angew Chem Int Ed* 44: 3412-3415 2005


19 Al-Hashimi HM Pitt S Majumdar A Xu W and Patel DJ Mg2+ induced changes in the conformation and dynamics of HIV-1 TAR RNA probed using NMR residual dipolar couplings *J Mol Biol* 329: 867-873 2003
18 Tolman JR and Al-Hashimi HM Probing biomolecule structural dynamics using residual dipolar couplings *Ann Rep NMR Spect* 51: 105-166 2003

17 Al-Hashimi HM and Gorin A Application of NMR residual dipolar couplings in studies of RNA: Towards high throughput investigations *Appl Gen Prot* 2: (1) 3-16 2003


14 Al-Hashimi HM Gosser Y Gorin A Hu W Majumdar A and Patel DJ Concerted motions in HIV-1 TAR RNA may allow access to bound state conformations: RNA dynamics from NMR residual dipolar couplings *J Mol Biol* 315: 95-102 2002 (featured on cover)


5 Prestegard JH Al-Hashimi HM and Tolman JR NMR structures of biomolecules using field induced media and residual dipolar couplings *Q Rev Biophys* 33 371-424 2000


1 Prestegard JH Tolman JR Al-Hashimi HM and Andrec M Protein structure and dynamics from field induced residual dipolar couplings in Modern Techniques in Protein NMR pp 311-355 Plenum New York 1998

Issued US Patents
1. NMR assisted design of high affinity ligands for structurally uncharacterized proteins 7,146,277
2. High-Throughput Ensemble-Based Docking Against Flexible Biomolecular Targets 8,498,823
3. Hashim M Al-Hashimi Mike Pape Andrew Stelzer Sung-Hun Bae J P Fairbank Device and Methods for Analysis of Biomolecule Structure Dynamics and Activity US Patent 61,733,784 Filed December 5 2012

Invited Seminars
2023 CECAM workshop on "RNA dynamics from experimental and computational approaches", June 26-28 2023, Paris France
2023 PSB Symposium: “Dynamics in Structural Biology” July 6-7 2023, EPN campus, Grenoble, France
2023 Pittcon meeting March 18
2023 Physical Chemistry Seminar, MIT February 7
2023 Molecular Biology and Biophysics seminar University of Connecticut January 24
2023 Big Quantum Bio meetings January 27 2023
2022 Structural Biology Program at Memorial Sloan Kettering Fall Structural Biology Seminar Series October 19 2022
2022 XXIX ICMRBS Meeting Boston, MA August 21-25 2022
2022 Murnau Conference on Structural Biology October 5
2022 Big Quantum Bio meetings January 27 2022
2022 Case Western Reserve University Pharmacology January 11
2021 Pacifichem 2021 December 16
2021 Beilstein Enzymology Symposium September 21 Germany
2021 22nd International Society of Magnetic Resonance Conference 9th Asian NMR Pacific Symposium August 22
2021 Australia/New Zealand Magnetic Resonance community May 18
2020 Structural Biology Seminar Series - National Cancer Institute October 8
2020 Tri-Institutional Structural Biology Seminar Series Rockefeller University, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center and Weill Cornell Medicine September 29
2020 Emerging MR Webinar June 11
2020 Yale University, Molecular Biology and Biophysics, May 4
2020 NIEHS Genomic Stability and Structural Biology Laboratory, April 23
2020 Harvard University, Systems Biology April 17
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Event Description</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>University of Denver Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, March 10</td>
<td>Denver, CO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>University of Colorado Denver Biochemistry and Molecular Genetics March 9</td>
<td>Denver, CO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>UCLA Chemistry and Biochemistry February 7</td>
<td>Los Angeles, CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2020</td>
<td>UNC Biochemistry and Biophysics February 4</td>
<td>Chapel Hill, NC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Nature Conference on Functional Dynamics November 6-8 2019</td>
<td>Tempe, AZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>University of Zurich Department of Chemistry Switzerland October 22</td>
<td>Zurich, Switzerland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>University of Basel Biozentrum Discovery Seminar Switzerland October 21</td>
<td>Basel, Switzerland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Johns Hopkins University Department of Biophysics October 14</td>
<td>Baltimore, MD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>UCSD Biochemistry Seminar Series October 3</td>
<td>San Diego, CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>NCI Center for Cancer Research RNA Initiative Fall workshop November 4</td>
<td>Bethesda, MD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>CIPSM Scientific Oktoberfest Munich Germany September 18-22 2019</td>
<td>Munich, Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>University of Chicago Chemistry Seminar April 12</td>
<td>Chicago, IL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>11th NMR a tool for Biology conference Institute Pasteur in Paris France January 28-30</td>
<td>Paris, France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Basic Science Day Duke University School of Medicine September 12</td>
<td>Durham, NC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Case Western Reserve University Department of Chemistry September 13</td>
<td>Cleveland, OH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>“DNA damage bypass” Chemical Toxicology Division 256th ACS National Meeting August 19-23 (declined)</td>
<td>Washington, DC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>ICMRBS University College Dublin Ireland August 19-24</td>
<td>Dublin, Ireland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>ICMRBS satellite workshop on Isotope Labelling Techniques Dublin Ireland August 19</td>
<td>Dublin, Ireland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>NYU-Nature Conference on Chemical Biology August 13–15</td>
<td>New York, NY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Les Houches – TSRC Protein Dynamics Workshop Les Houches France May 27-Jun 1</td>
<td>Les Houches, France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Telluride Workshop on Nucleic Acid Chemistry July 23-27</td>
<td>Telluride, CO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Bioorganic Chemistry Gordon Research Conference Andover New Hampshire June 10-15</td>
<td>Andover, NH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>The 59th Experimental NMR Conference Orlando Florida April 29 - May 4</td>
<td>Orlando, FL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>255th National ACS Meeting &quot;Discovery of Small Molecules Targeting RNA – Where Are We and Where are We Going?&quot; March 18-22</td>
<td>New Orleans, LA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Frontiers in Biological Sciences Tsinghua University Beijing China March 6</td>
<td>Beijing, China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>Vanderbilt Chemistry Fall Colloquium</td>
<td>Nashville, TN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>ASRC - City College of New York Seminar series in Biochemistry Biophysics &amp; Biodesign September 6</td>
<td>New York, NY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>Rutgers University Biochemistry and Molecular Biology Seminar Series</td>
<td>Piscataway, NJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>Telluride Workshop on RNA Electrostatics June 25-30</td>
<td>Telluride, CO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>American Chemical Society's Southeast Regional Meeting Charlotte November 7-11</td>
<td>Charlotte, NC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>From Structure to Function: Gene and Epigenetic Regulation Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center May 17</td>
<td>New York, NY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>20th ISMAR meeting July 23</td>
<td>Geneva, Switzerland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>University of Minnesota Bollum Symposium May 3</td>
<td>Minneapolis, MN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>Biological Macromolecules: Structure Catalysis and Regulation Symposium at Tsinghua University China April 15-16</td>
<td>Beijing, China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>Keystone Symposia on Frontiers of NMR in Life Sciences. March 12-17</td>
<td>Bethesda, MD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>NMR: A tool for biology Xth Institut Pasteur and the BRUKER society Paris France January 30–February 1</td>
<td>Paris, France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>SFB/GTBIO (Société Française de Biophysique/ Groupe Thématique Biologie de l'Association Française de Cristallographie) Obernai Alsace France December 13-16</td>
<td>Obernai, France</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Caltech Biochemistry Seminar Dec 10</td>
<td>Pasadena, CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>International Conference on Magnetic Resonance in Biological Systems Kyoto International Conference Center Japan August 21</td>
<td>Kyoto, Japan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Tutorial Lecture: EUROMAR conference Aarhus Denmark July 3-7</td>
<td>Aarhus, Denmark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Symposium #181: Biomolecular Structure and Dynamics: Recent Advances in NMR Pacificchem Waikiki Hawaii December 15</td>
<td>Honolulu, HI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>NMR Topical group of the North Jersey section of the American Chemical Society October 21</td>
<td>Hoboken, NJ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Event</td>
<td>Location</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>University of Rochester Medical Center Department of Biochemistry and Biophysics</td>
<td>New York, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>University of Toronto Structural Biology Seminar Series</td>
<td>Toronto, Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>NIEHS December 1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>UCSD November 6 (declined)</td>
<td>San Diego, CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>North Jersey ACS topical NMR group</td>
<td>New Jersey, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>UCSF BBC Seminar October 9</td>
<td>San Francisco, CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>MSKCC Structural Biology Seminar September 15</td>
<td>New York, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Graduate Student Invited Seminar: Integrated Analysis of Macromolecular Complexes and Hybrid Methods in Genome Biology Munich Germany</td>
<td>Munich, Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>CHIANTI/INSTRUCT Workshop on Magnetic Resonance for Cellular Structural Biology</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Novartis Institutes for BioMedical Research Inc May 7</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>McGill University Chemical Society Lecture Series program</td>
<td>Montreal, Canada</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>UMBC Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry April 1</td>
<td>Maryland, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Agilent Technologies Annual User Meeting ENC</td>
<td>New Jersey, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>University of Georgia Minisymposium on Regulatory RNA</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Max Planck Institute for Developmental Biology Miko Seminar Series</td>
<td>Tübingen, Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Zing Conference on Protein and RNA Structure Prediction</td>
<td>Playa Del Carmen, Mexico</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Columbia University Chemistry Department</td>
<td>New York, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>UCSD Biochemistry Seminar Series</td>
<td>San Diego, CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>UIC Physics Colloquium October 10</td>
<td>Illinois, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Princeton University Department of Chemistry Sept 26-27</td>
<td>New Jersey, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>University of Pennsylvania Department of Chemistry</td>
<td>New Jersey, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Structural Biology Related to HIV/AIDS Bethesda MD</td>
<td>Maryland, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>2nd KIAS Conference on Subcellular Dynamics KIAS Seoul South Korea</td>
<td>South Korea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Function and Protein Synthesis - ASBMB</td>
<td>Boston, MA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Frankfurt NMR Symposium</td>
<td>Frankfurt, Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Agilent Technologies CA Feb 25</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>Featured Lecturer: RRR Workshop Osaka Japan</td>
<td>Osaka, Japan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>University of Pennsylvania Department of Biochemistry</td>
<td>New Jersey, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Department of Chemistry Umea University Sweden</td>
<td>Umea, Sweden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>UC-Irvine Department of Chemistry</td>
<td>Irvine, CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Boston University Biomedical Engineering</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>International Congress of the Spanish Biophysical Society</td>
<td>Barcelona, Spain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>12th Chianti/INSTRUCT Workshop Italy</td>
<td>Italy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Biopolymers Gordon Research Conference Newport Rhode Island</td>
<td>Newport, Rhode Island</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>BioNMR and Computational Structural Biology</td>
<td>Barcelona, Spain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>Biophysics Gordon Research Conference Session Chair Ventura CA</td>
<td>CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Zing Conference Playa del Carmen Cancun</td>
<td>Cancun, Mexico</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>ACS Midwest-Great Lakes Joint Regional Meeting in St Louis MO</td>
<td>Missouri, USA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Barcelona Biomed Conference on Macromolecular Dynamics</td>
<td>Barcelona, Spain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Harvard University Department of Molecular &amp; Cellular Biology</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>EUROMAR Frankfurt Germany</td>
<td>Germany</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Enzymes Coenzyme and Metabolic Pathways Gordon Research Conference</td>
<td>New Hampshire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Telluride Workshop on RNA Dynamics</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Nucleic Acids Gordon Research Conference University of New England</td>
<td>Biddeford, Maine</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2007  Frontiers of NMR in Molecular Biology Keystone Conference Snowbird UT January
2006  Williams College Department of Chemistry Williamstown MA December
2006  NMR Society of Japan Kyoto Japan November
2006  Wayne State University Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry Detroit MI October
2006  University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center Department of Biochemistry Dallas TX October
2006  XXII ICMRBS Göttingen Germany August
2006  Perspectives in Protein and Nucleic Acids NMR Utrecht Netherlands June
2006  University of Texas San Antonio Department of Biochemistry San Antonio TX March
2006  Seventh Igler Symposium on NMR- Spectroscopy Obergurgl Austria February
2005  European Experimental Magnetic Resonance Conference Veldhoven Netherlands July
2005  46th Experimental Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Conference Providence RI April
2004  Institute for Molecular Biotechnology Jena Germany July
2004  Max Planck-Institute for Biophysical Chemistry Göttingen Germany July
2004  Organic Chemistry Division Universität Frankfurt am Main Frankfurt Germany April
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*For internal purposes only.*
**Executive Summary**

Through a generous $175 million gift from Roy and Diana Vagelos to establish the Vagelos Institute for Biomedical Research Education, the Vagelos College of Physicians and Surgeons (VP&S) has the opportunity to rethink how we train, support, and foster the careers of our graduate students today and in the decades to come.

To identify the necessary steps to create a new academic training model, Dean Armstrong convened a task force in June 2023. The task force met a total of 18 times over three months and held numerous discussions with current students, faculty mentors, program directors, chairs, as well as colleagues at other institutions. The recommendations are organized into the following five goals:

1. **Revolutionize the programs through a centralized and democratic scientific and administrative structure.**
   - Restructure the graduate programs into 5-7 non-overlapping thematic areas.
   - Create a program governance structure based on inter-departmental program committees, a Central Coordinating Committee, and an inter-program Curriculum Committee. Foster movement between programs and standardize core practices.
   - Centralize and expand administration and support for graduate students.
   - Alleviate departmental financial burden by using the Vagelos funds to support students in their first year.
   - Reduce financial barriers to supporting students and explore ways to endow the entire program.

2. **Recruit the most talented students locally and globally.**
   - Establish school-wide admissions standards and unify the process across programs.
   - Strengthen efforts to increase the number of diverse applicants.
   - Redesign a user-friendly VP&S central website for all programs.
   - Create an electrifying and exciting interview visit.
   - Increase the breadth and size of student body over time.

3. **Equip students with the best possible scholarly education and research training.**
   - Establish and communicate faculty and student expectations.
   - Expand the role of thesis mentoring committee.
   - Build great curricula and courses, redesign lab rotations, and redesign qualifying exams.

4. **Give graduate students the resources and support they need to thrive.**
   - Eliminate all avoidable financial hardships and reduce the burden of living in high cost-of-living city.
   - Create effective physical and virtual spaces for PhD students.
   - Provide career services to enhance skills and foster networking with alumni.

5. **Provide faculty the resources and support needed to thrive as mentors and educators.**
   - Enhance early career faculty access to and recruitment of students.
   - Help faculty become more effective mentors.
   - Increase financial support to provide a research environment that promotes outstanding science.
The current implementation plan is as follows:

- External Advisory Board reviews proposed program structure and additional recommendations (November 2023).
- Constitute an Implementation Committee that will survey the scientific community and define the graduate programs’ thematic areas (January 2024).
- Designate directors for each program as well as assemble the Program Committees and a Central Coordinating Committee to harmonize and standardize practices across all programs (February 2024).
- Constitute the Curriculum Committee and revolutionize the best training program for our students that provides superb foundational knowledge in the biomedical sciences (Spring - Summer 2024).
- Develop guidelines to ensure transparent, fair, selective, and yet inclusive processes for admissions and PhD program requirements (Spring - Summer 2024).
- Obtain school (e.g., GSAS and VP&S) as well as University Senate and Trustee program approvals (Summer 2024 – Spring 2025). Once University approvals are acquired, facilitate external review.
- Enhance the student experience by exploring ways to provide additional benefits, create a student lounge, increase student well-being initiatives, and expand career services (Fall 2024). Immediately implement programs that will benefit the current students.
- Enhance resources and support for faculty, including mentoring practices (Fall 2024).
- Once University approvals are granted and the external review is completed, obtain the necessary New York State Education Department (NYSED) doctoral program approval (Spring 2025 – Summer 2026).
- Once NYSED approves the programs, redesign the website to showcase the new academic model for graduate education (Summer 2026).
- Implement new admissions procedures and recruitment plans (Fall 2026 and Spring 2027).
- The inaugural Vagelos Institute PhD cohort begins classes (Fall 2027. Note: This date is based on the estimated timeline for approvals.).
APPENDIX D:

Faculty Council Presentation by Dr. Hashim Al-Hashimi
TRANSFORMING GRADUATE PHD EDUCATION AT VP&S

Update on the Vagelos Institute for Biomedical Research Education (VIBRE)

Faculty Council Meeting
January 29, 2024
In 2023, Diana and Roy Vagelos generously gave $175 million to launch the Vagelos Institute for Biomedical Research Education.

The Vagelos Institute will:

- Be home to PhD students
- Spur the training of more physician-scientists
- Create a new academic model
Defining Graduate PhD Education

“Current State” Task Force Members

Arthur Palmer III, PhD (chair)
Associate Dean of Graduate Affairs

Yinghui Mao, PhD
Assistant Dean for Graduate Affairs

Anthony Ferrante Jr., MD
Director of Graduate Studies, Nutritional and Metabolic Biology Program

Wesley Grueber, PhD
Director of Graduate Studies, Neurobiology and Behavior Program

Rebecca Haeusler, PhD
Director of Graduate Studies, Integrated Program in Cellular, Molecular, and Biomedical Studies

Michele Shirasu-Hiza, PhD
Director of Graduate Studies, Genetics and Development Program

Lorraine Symington, PhD
Director of Graduate Studies, Microbiology and Immunology Program

“Future State” Task Force Members

Hashim Al-Hashimi, PhD (chair)
Roy and Diana Vagelos Professor of Biochemistry and Molecular Biophysics

Dmitriy Aronov, PhD
Assistant Professor of Neuroscience

Henry Colecraft, PhD
John C. Dalton Professor of Physiology and Cellular Biophysics and Professor of Molecular Pharmacology and Therapeutics

Laura Johnston, PhD
Professor of Genetics and Development

Emily Mace, PhD
Assistant Professor of Pediatric Immunology (in Pediatrics)

Kenneth Olive, PhD
Associate Professor of Medicine

Samuel Sternberg, PhD
Assistant Professor of Biochemistry and Molecular Biophysics

Chunhua Weng, PhD
Professor of Biomedical Informatics

Danielle Matsushima, PhD
Senior Director of Strategic Research Initiatives
In the VP&S Office for Research
Biomedical Informatics (MDIF)
Cellular and Molecular Physiology & Biophysics (PHYG)
Genetics and Development (GEND)
Integrated Program in Cellular, Molecular, and Biomedical Studies (CMBS)
Microbiology and Immunology (MICR)
Molecular Pharmacology and Therapeutics (PHAR)
Neurobiology and Behavior (NB&B)
Nutritional and Metabolic Biology (HNUT)
Pathobiology and Mechanisms of Disease (PATH)
Recommendation Process and Timeline

Current Model: Coordinated Programs in the Biomedical Sciences

- **MAY 2023** | **August 2023**
  - Two Task Forces Launch
  - Data Collection & Ideation

- **JUNE – JULY 2023** | **INTERNAL REVIEW**
- **AUGUST 2023** | **EXTERNAL REVIEW**

- **NOVEMBER 2023**
  - Internal Review
  - External Review
### Recommended Five Goals for Transformation

1. Revolutionize the programs
2. Recruit talent locally and globally
3. Provide the best education & research training
4. Give students resources to thrive
5. Enable faculty to thrive as mentors and educators
Recommendation 1

Revolutionize the programs

Recruit talent locally and globally

Provide the best education & research training

Give students resources to thrive

Enable faculty to thrive as mentors and educators

Key Tasks:

1) Restructure graduate programs.

2) Create program governance structure:
   a) Establish inter-departmental program committees
   b) Establish a Central Coordinating Committee.
   c) Establish an inter-program Curriculum Committee.

3) Foster movement between programs.

4) Standardize core practices.

5) Centralize and expand OGA administration and support.

6) Build a central website.

7) Look for opportunities to endow the entire program.
Recommendation 2

Key Tasks:
1) Strengthen efforts to increase # of diverse applicants.
2) Create a user-friendly VP&S central website for all programs.
3) Create electrifying and exciting interview visit.
4) Establish school-wide standards of admission.
5) Unify the admissions process across programs.
6) Increase the breadth and size of student body over time
Recommendation 3

Key Tasks:

1) Establish and communicate faculty expectations.

2) Establish and communicate student expectations.

3) Expand the role of thesis mentoring committees.

4) Create school-wide standards:
   a) Build great curricula and courses.
   b) Redesign lab rotations.
   c) Redesign qualifying exams.

5) Reduce financial barriers to supporting students.
Recommendation 4

Key Tasks:
1) Eliminate all forms of avoidable financial hardship.
2) Reduce burden of living in high cost-of-living city.
3) Provide supplemental funds to attract and retain talent.
4) Eliminate ineffective institutional support via OGA restructure.
5) Empower students to shape graduate programs.
6) Support community building.
7) Create effective physical and virtual spaces for PhD students.
8) Provide career services and networking with alumni.
9) Create opportunities to enhance skills for professional dev.
10) Clarify mentorship expectations.
Recommendation 5

Key Tasks:
1) Enhance faculty access and recruitment of students.
2) Help faculty become more effective mentors.
3) Increase financial support to provide a research environment that promotes outstanding science.
# Implementation Process and Timeline

**Current Model: Coordinated Programs in the Biomedical Sciences**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Task Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MAY 2023</td>
<td>TWO TASK FORCES LAUNCH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUNE – JULY 2023</td>
<td>DATA COLLECTION &amp; IDEATION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUGUST 2023</td>
<td>INTERNAL REVIEW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOVEMBER 2023</td>
<td>EXTERNAL REVIEW</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FEBRUARY – AUGUST 2024</td>
<td>IMPLEMENTATION PHASE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEPTEMBER 2024</td>
<td>MARKET NEW ACADEMIC MODEL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SEPTEMBER 2025</td>
<td>INAUGURAL CLASS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Implementation Process and Timeline

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Task</th>
<th>2024</th>
<th>2025</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spring</td>
<td>Summer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Form Implementation Committee</td>
<td>1 2 3 4 5</td>
<td>6 7 8 9 10 11 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Determine new program areas (Goal 1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Form Program Committees (Goal 1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Form Central Coordinating Committee (Goal 1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Form Curriculum Committee (Goal 1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identify and design core and program courses (Goal 3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revamp admissions and recruitment (Goal 2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Launch new academic model with first cohort (Goal 1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhance the student experience (Goal 4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enhance resources to support faculty (Goal 5)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Implementation Priority Areas

- Reimagine the training program areas
- Develop an outstanding curriculum
- Prioritize student wellness
Questions
Admission Process and Outcomes

- Average 1,250 applications with a constant increase of applications in recent years.
- Applicants choose from 13 different programs/tracks with one primary option and one secondary option.
- Each program/track independently runs the admissions process with an Admission Review Committee.
- ~300 applicants are invited for an on-site visit during February and March.
- Each program proposes a group of applicants to be admitted for approved by the Associate Dean.
- ~150 applicants are admitted every year, which constitutes an average of 12% selectivity. However, due to the size of the applicant pool, the average selectivity varies among each individual program/track from 8% to 33%.
Guiding principles

- Build an **unrivaled academic research community** that makes Columbia the ultimate destination for budding scientists to nurture and launch their independent careers.
- Create a **new academic model** that instills a sense of purpose within the community members, fosters a deep-seated passion for science as a vocation, and encourages intellectual risk-taking and bold experimentation needed to make historic advances in health science research.
- Cultivate an **inclusive academic research environment** and supportive ecosystem that **celebrates diversity** and has a sustained commitment to providing student-centric mentoring and education.
- Increase the **quality of the graduate program** and build a deep sense of community and purpose by democratizing the process through which training programs are managed and evolved over time.
- Increase and **facilitate improved communication and coordination** between various stakeholders on campus.
- **Simplify, streamline, and standardize PhD program requirements** and administrative processes to increase efficiency and alleviate burdens on faculty and students.
- Build on and take advantage of existing strengths across programs and **eliminate redundancies**.
- **Reduce the disproportionate financial burdens** that deter historically marginalized groups from pursuing a career in science, making equity and accessibility a central pillar of our program.
- **Recalibrate the mentor-mentee dynamic** and build relationships centered on trust.
- Normalize, destigmatize, and **provide support for pursuing careers outside of academia** to foster leaders that are expertly skilled to tackle challenges pertaining to human health.
- **Relieve the financial stress of funding trainees** for all mentors, but especially for early career faculty.
APPENDIX E:

Letter of Support
April 12, 2023

Mary C. Boyce, PhD
Office of the Provost
Columbia University
205 Low Memorial Library
535 West 116th Street
New York, NY 10027

Dear Mary:

I submit for your approval and recommendation to the Trustees at their May 2023 meeting the establishment and naming of a new institute to advance Columbia University Vagelos College of Physicians and Surgeons (VP&S) as a leading institution for training in biomedical research, the "Roy and Diana Vagelos Institute for Biomedical Research Education" at VP&S (the Institute). The Institute will position Columbia as an unparalleled leader for fostering the careers of scientists and physician-scientists.

The Institute will be dedicated to developing a new academic model, one that encourages and supports the sort of intellectual risk-taking needed for transformative discoveries in the health sciences. The goal of the Institute is to create supportive career pathways, promising stability and academic freedom, in order to attract more students and junior faculty to the essential endeavor of biomedical research. Currently in the United States, PhD students, MDs in training, residents, fellows, and early-career physician-scientists who pursue a career in basic, translational or clinical research must contend with both financial challenges and professional uncertainty.

The Institute will encompass and oversee the programs made possible by the transformative gift of $175 million from P. Roy Vagelos, MD and Diana Vagelos, pledged in October, 2022. Of their gift, $125 million will be used to establish an endowment to support PhD students conducting their training with faculty members in VP&S departments. This support will allow VP&S to transform the model by

---

1 The number of physician-scientists has dwindled over recent decades due largely to the challenges for medical trainees and early-career MDs interested in research careers. A 2017 NEJM article, "Saving the Endangered Physician-Scientist—A Plan for Accelerating Medical Breakthroughs (link is external and opens in a new window)," cites finances and funding as the top issues contributing to the decline in number of physicians.
which graduate students are funded and to revamp the graduate curriculum to maximize training opportunities for PhD students. $50 million will be used to support programs that develop an integrated and comprehensive physician-scientist training pathway to ensure medical students, resident trainees, fellows, and early career faculty members have the necessary funding and protected time to pursue research interests and establish themselves as independent physician-scientists.

Anchored at the Vagelos College of Physicians and Surgeons, the Institute will be led initially and on an interim basis by me as Dean of VP&S and EVP for Health and Biomedical Sciences. As outlined in the gift agreement for the Vageloses' commitment, The Institute will be governed by a steering committee which I will chair. The committee will oversee and establish guidelines for the programs to be developed as part of the Institute's activities. The committee also will empanel an external scientific advisory board (ESAB) to impart additional expertise from leaders in the field of graduate biomedical education as these new PhD and Physician Scientist Pathways programs are developed. Initial members of the ESAB include: Enrique M. De La Cruz, PhD, of the Yale School of Medicine; Tracy Johnson, PhD, of UCLA; William Kaelin Jr., MD, of the Dana-Farber Cancer Institute; and Shirley M. Tilghman, PhD, of Princeton University. Any VP&S faculty member who participates in the training of students, residents, or fellows as part of the programs made possible by the gift shall be considered members of the institute.

As a measure of gratitude for this remarkable generosity, we therefore respectfully seek your and the Trustees' approval to establish and name the "Roy and Diana Vagelos Institute for Biomedical Research Education" at VP&S in perpetuity.

Among the most generous benefactors in the history of the University, Dr. Roy Vagelos is an international authority on lipid biochemistry, physiology, and pharmacotherapy and is one of the leading research visionaries of his generation. He became Chief Executive Officer of Merck following an authoritative career as a cardiologist, biochemist, Department Chairman, and highly productive scientist focusing on the biosynthesis and control of cholesterol. Spanning enzyme research, molecular genetics, human trials, and the development and marketing of statins, his career ushered in the current era of preventive cardiovascular medicine.

Dr. Vagelos is married to Diana Vagelos (nee Touliatos), an alumna of Barnard College at Columbia University. Diana Vagelos continues to be involved with her alma mater, where she is Vice Chairman of the Board of Trustees.

Dr. Vagelos received his A.B. degree in 1950 from the University of Pennsylvania, where he was elected to Phi Beta Kappa, the academic honor society. He received his M.D. from Columbia University in 1954 and was elected to Alpha Omega Alpha, the medical honor society. From 1954-1956, he completed his internship and residency at Massachusetts General Hospital in Boston. From 1956-1966, he served at the National Heart Institute, in Bethesda, Maryland holding positions in cellular physiology and biochemistry, first as Senior Surgeon and then as Head of Section of Comparative Biochemistry, both in the Laboratory of Biochemistry. It was during this time that he started his fundamental research on lipid metabolism and discovered the acyl-carrier protein (ACP), a key factor in this process. In 1966, Dr. Vagelos joined Washington University in St. Louis, Missouri, as Chairman of the Department of Biological Chemistry of the School of Medicine in St Louis, Missouri where he founded and became Director of the University’s Division of Biology and Biomedical Sciences. In so doing, he established an unprecedented model for the fusion of a Medical School with an undergraduate Department of Biology, a model that many other universities were soon to emulate. Dr. Vagelos joined Merck in 1975 as Senior Vice President of Research and became President of its research division in 1976. From 1984-1994, Dr. Vagelos served as Chief Executive Officer of Merck & Co. Inc. Dr. Vagelos has served on the Board of Trustees at the University of Pennsylvania from 1988 until 1999, of which he was Chair from 1995 to 1999, as well as Chair of the Trustees Executive and Nominating Committees and is a member of the undergraduate financial aid committee and the Agenda for Excellence Council. Upon his retirement from the Board, he was named
Trustee Emeritus. He is currently Chairman of Regeneron Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and Theravance, Inc., two biotech companies.

Dr. Vagelos is the author of more than 100 scientific papers and an elected member of the National Academy of Sciences, as well as the Institute of Medicine, the American Academy of Arts and Sciences, and the American Philosophical Society. For his seminal work on ACP and leadership of Merck, Dr. Vagelos was awarded the American Chemical Society's Enzyme Chemistry Award in 1967, the National Academy of Science's Chemistry in Service to Society Award in 1995 and was inducted into the National Business Hall of Fame in 1995. In 1998, the School of Arts and Sciences bestowed upon him its Distinguished Alumni Award in recognition of his lifetime achievements in the sciences and humanities, and in 1999 he was honored by the Franklin Institute with the Bower Award for Business Leadership for his role in eradicating river blindness.

He is also, currently, Chairman of the Board of Advisors at Columbia University Vagelos College of Physicians and Surgeons, former co-Chair of the University Campaign, and Chair of the Columbia Climate Board of Advisors. He serves on a number of public policy and advisory boards, including the Donald Danforth Plant Science Center and the Danforth Foundation.

The Vageloses current philanthropic activities are rich and varied and continue to span academia through medicine to industry. At the University of Pennsylvania, they donated over $15 million dollars to create the Roy and Diana Vagelos Laboratories. These funds also made possible the founding of the Vagelos Scholars Program in Molecular Life Sciences, an intensive program offered to University of Pennsylvania freshmen. In addition, they donated funds to launch the Vagelos Program in Life Sciences and Management, a joint program between the Wharton School at the University of Pennsylvania and its College of Arts and Sciences. They also founded the Vagelos Integrated Program in Energy Research, supporting the necessity of energy research.

In 2010, Dr. Vagelos and Diana Vagelos donated $50 million dollars to the Columbia College of Physicians and Surgeons towards the construction of a new building named the Roy and Diana Vagelos Education Center, it opened in August 2016. In 2017, Dr. and Mrs. Vagelos made an historic commitment of $250,000,000 to the medical school in support of student financial aid, scientific research, and faculty support. In recognition of their unparalleled commitment to the student, patients, and faculty at Columbia, the medical school was renamed as the Vagelos College of Physicians and Surgeons. They have contributed a total of approximately $500 million to Columbia.

As a testament to Roy and Diana's lifetime of generosity and dedication to the advancement of science and medicine, I am pleased to provide my full and enthusiastic support for the establishment and naming of the Vagelos Institute for Biomedical Research Education.

All my best,

Katrina Armstrong, MD
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Proposed Funding Model
Vision to Transform Graduate Education and Research Training at the Vagelos College of Physicians and Surgeons

THE VAGELOS INSTITUTE FOR BIOMEDICAL RESEARCH EDUCATION

Two-Part Approach to become the nation’s leading graduate school in the biomedical sciences.
Part 1: Transforming the Graduate Program

Graduate Program Endowment

$125M endowment generates approx. $6,400,000 of income each year
- $3.1 covers cost of 1st year PhD Students
- Remaining annual endowment income will provide funding to support students in years 2-3 not covered by training grants, and cover University fees for students in years 4-5.

Stable Funding and Better Options for PhD students
- New model allows students more time to build skills & interests before choosing a specialty/training grant
- Endowment ensures secure funding for PhD students in years 1-3; Years 4-5 covered by P.I. grants
Part 2: Building Physician Scientist Research Pathways

MD Research Program
- $5,000,000 (current-use pilot)
- $1,000,000/year for 5 years
- Provides 25-35 awards ranging from $10,000 - $50,000 each year for 5 years

Residency Research Program
- $10,000,000 (current-use pilot)
- $1,000,000/year for 10 years
- Provides $50,000 in research support to 20 residents each year for 10 years

Physician Scientist Scholars Program
- $35,000,000 (endowment)
- $1,750,000 endowment income/year
- Provides $200,000 to cover 4 years of salary and research support to 8 early career physician scientists each year
**Physician Scientist Scholars Program:** Income of $1,750,000/year from endowment of $35M would support 8 awardees, beginning with 2 in the first year and adding 2 each year, until reaching 8 awardees in year 4. As the endowment grows, number of awards may increase.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Year 4</th>
<th>Year 5</th>
<th>Year 6</th>
<th>Year 7</th>
<th>Year 8</th>
<th>Year 9</th>
<th>Year 10</th>
<th>Year 11</th>
<th>Year 12</th>
<th>Year 13</th>
<th>Year 14</th>
<th>Year 15</th>
<th>Year 16</th>
<th>Year 17</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$1,750,000</td>
<td>$1,350,000</td>
<td>$2,300,000</td>
<td>$2,850,000</td>
<td>$3,000,000</td>
<td>$3,150,000</td>
<td>$3,300,000</td>
<td>$3,450,000</td>
<td>$3,600,000</td>
<td>$3,750,000</td>
<td>$3,900,000</td>
<td>$4,050,000</td>
<td>$4,200,000</td>
<td>$4,350,000</td>
<td>$4,500,000</td>
<td>$4,650,000</td>
<td>$4,800,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Key**
- **Class 1:** Green
- **Class 2:** Yellow
- **Class 3:** Blue
- **Class 4:** Pink